Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

-24	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	4	
		4 5.	

STATE v LAMBERT

Appellate Case Inf	ormation	Dept/Composition	_
Case Filed:	26-Feb-2024		
Case Closed:			

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Plaintiff/Appellee

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

State of Arizona
Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellee

Philip D Garrow, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22458)

Side 2. CRAIG A. LAMBERT, Defendant/Appellant

(Litigant Group) CRAIG A. LAMBERT

• Craig A Lambert PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Feb 26, 2024....Pending

P R 1 C/		SSOR CASE(S) 1 CA-CV 24-0083	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
₩	MAR	LC2023-000252-001	Arizona Traffic Ticket		Joseph P Mikitish, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		
🤄 СРНХЈ СТ2023-003353			Comments: (none)				

		6 PROCEEDING ENTRIES
1.	26-Feb-2024	FILED: Notice of Appeal; Certificate of Service (Appellant Lambert, Pro Se)
2.	27-Feb-2024	FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
3.	11-Mar-2024	FILED: [Stricken Per 6/10/24 Order] Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Order (CofA 1) Filed 2/15/24; Order (MCSC) Filed 12/21/23 (Appellant Lambert, Pro Se)
4.	14-Mar-2024	FILED: Notice of Continuing Fee Deferral (ARS 12-302(I))
5.	10-Jun-2024	On March 11, 2024, Appellant Lambert, pro se, filed a "Petition for Review" and a "Certificate of Compliance" indicating that the petition contains 7,704 words. Pursuant to Rule 23(g), a petition for review "must not exceed 3,500 words." The petition for review is non-compliant pursuant to Rule 23(g), Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure. Therefore,
		IT IS ORDERED striking the Petition for Review filed on March 11, 2024.
		IT IS FURTHER ORDERED if a compliant petition for review is not filed on or before July 1, 2024, this matter may be dismissed. (Hon James P Beene)
6.	14-Jun-2024	FILED: Amended Petition for Review; Certificate of Compliance; (Duplicate) Certificate of Compliance (Appellant Lambert, Pro Se)